

Clarification -IV dated 21.04.2023 for to the Bidding Documents for “Transmission Line Tower Package TW01 for (i) 400kV D/c (Triple HTLS Conductor) line from Pot Head Yard of Kiru HE Project - Kishtwar Pooling Station, (ii) LILO of one ckt. of 400 kV D/c (Triple HTLS Conductor) Kiru - Kishtwar line at Pot Head Yard of Kwar & Pakal Dul HE Projects and (iii) Extension of Kishtwar (GIS) pooling station under Consultancy Works to M/S CVPPL”; Spec. No.: CC/NT/W-TW/DOM/A06/23/00495

Sl. No.	Clause ref	Queries asked by the bidder	POWERGRID’s clarification
1.	Vol-II/TS-TL/SECTION-VII B / Clause no. 1.5.1 (ii) Vol-II/TS-TL/SECTION-IA, Clause no. 1.3	As per clause the bidder has to meet the sag tension limits in “After Creep” as well as in “After Load” condition. However, in section project only sag tension value are mentioned. We request you to clarify, whether this Sag Tension value are given for After Creep or After Load condition. Please clarify and share the sag value for both After Creep and After Load condition.	Bidder may refer clause 1.5.1 (ii), Section-VIIB of TS which stipulates that PLSCAD Sagging criteria/ conditions shall be based on the sag tension limits specified in Section-I and shall be carried out in a manner that the specified sag-tension limits are met in “After Creep” as well as in “After Load” condition.
2.	Amendment No-1 (Commercial Portion) dated 03.04.2023; 2.3 PAYMENT PROCEDURES IN CASE OF UNBALANCED / FRONT LOADED BID	As per this clause, we understand that the percentage of Services component (Installation, civil works and F&I) the price quoted by bidder should not be less than 43% of the total contract value. Please provide the rational for keeping the value for service portion at 43%. Based on our experience and past executed project we found this value to be on very higher side and this will create unbalance loading. We request you to remove the capping in service portion or keep it at 30%	The provisions of the bidding document shall remain unchanged.
