पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड
(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED
(A Government of India Enterprise)

पावरग्रिड

केन्द्रीय कार्यालयः "सौदामिनी" प्लॉट सं. 2, सैक्टर–29, गुडगाँव–122 001, (हरियाणा) दूरभाषः 0124-2571700-719, फैक्स : 0124-2571762,
"Saudamini" Plot No. 2, Sector-29, Gurgaon-122 001, (Haryana) Tel. : 0124-2571700-719, Fax : 0124-2571762, Web.: www.powergridindia.com

CP/RTI /2014/52

Date: 31st July, 2014

Shri Ajay Singh S/o Sardar Sunder Singh
General Merchant Store
Dhalli Bazaar, Tehsil – Chandpur
Distt.- Bijnor – 246 725

Sub: **Information under Right to Information Act, 2005.**

Dear Mr.Singh,

This has reference to your letter dated 6th June, 2014 (received on 13.06.2014) seeking information under RTI Act, 2005. The information sought is given below:

1&2) POWERGRID's reply has already been forwarded vide our letter dated 24th July, 2014.

3a1) Year wise leave details of Sh. Ankur Bhandari Emp. No 01444 is attached at **Annex-I.**

(a2&b1)POWERGRID have online Attendance Management system. Employees apply their leaves in the system and their reporting and reviewing officers sanction their leaves online and forward the absebntee to HR Deptt. The requisite information w.e.f 1st January 2006 to 31st March 2012 is required which is for a very long period and as such very lengthy, such information with respect to particular month, if any, can be provided. Year wise leave details from 1st April 2005 to 31st March 2008 in respect of Smt. Shyama Kumari is attached at **Annex-II**

4) CPC / CSC proceedings are confidential in nature and contains personal information therefore, the appellant being third party, information cannot be disclosed under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. This has been clearly mentioned in the CIC order dated 19.09.2013 and 15.07.2013 (copy attached).

5) List as requested at a) & b) are not prepared, hence no information is being provided.

Details of Appellate Authority, as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 is as under :

Shri B. Mishra
Executive Director (CP & IT) & Appellate Authority, Corporate Centre
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
"Saudamini", Plot No. 2, Sector-29
Gurgaon – 122007, Haryana

Thanking you,

भवदीय,

सुधीर 31.7.14

(सुधीर मित्तल)
महाप्रबंधक (के.आ.) एवं के लो.सू.अधिकारी

Attach: As above

पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड
(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)
POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED
(A Government of India Enterprise)
पावर

1989-2014 उत्कृष्टता के

CIN : L40101DL1989GOI038121

पंजीकृत कार्यालय: "सौदामिनी" प्लॉट सं. 2, सैक्टर–29, गुडगाँव–122 001, (हरियाणा) दूरभाष: 0124-2571700-719, फैक्स : 0124-2
"Saudamini" Plot No. 2, Sector-29, Gurgaon-122 001, (Haryana) Tel. : 0124-2571700-719, Fax : 0124-2571762, Web.: www.powergridinc

CP/RTI /2014/44

Date: 24th July, 2014

Shri Ajay Singh,
S/o Sardar Sunder Singh,
General Merchant Store,
Dhalli Bazaar,
Tehsil – Chandpur,
Distt.- Bijnor – 246 725

Sub: **Information under Right to Information Act, 2005.**

Dear Mr.Singh,

This has reference to your letter dated 13th May, 2014 seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.

In this regard, it may be mentioned that the information sought by Ms. Juhi Arora (letter dated 4th May, 2013) and Mr. Ajay Singh (letter dated 13th October, 2013) has already been forwarded vide our letter dated 5th July, 2013 and 16th December, 2013 respectively. Accordingly, you are advised to visit our Corporate office, Gurgaon and inspect the concerned record on a working day at below given address with prior notice:

"SAUDAMINI", Plot No. 2, Sector-29,
Gurgaon-122001, Haryana

For inspection of documents/records, you are advised to intimate us about your proposed date & time of visit at least two weeks in advance and the specific records you wish to inspect, so that documents are kept ready for inspection. It may please be noted, in the event you desire to have photocopy of any concerned page, the same shall be made available on payment of applicable charges at the rate of Rs. 2 /- per page

Details of Appellate Authority, as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 is as under :

Shri B. Mishra
Executive Director (CP & IT) & Appellate Authority, Corporate Centre
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
"Saudamini", Plot No. 2, Sector-29
Gurgaon – 122007, Haryana

Thanking you,

भवदीय,
सुधीर मित्तल 24
(सुधीर मित्तल)
महाप्रबंधक (के.आ.) एवं के.लो.सू.अधिकारी

c/c

Annexur-I

Name- Ankur Bhandari

Emp No -01444

~~Period 28.03.2005 to 27.03.2006~~

Period 28.03.2006 to 31.12.2012 (Dt. of regularisation - 28.03.2006)

From	To	Type of Leave	No of Days
12.10.2007	31.10.2007	EL	20
01.11.2007	08.11.2007	EL	8
20.11.2007	23.11.2007	EL	4
27.10.2008	31.10.2008	EL	5
20.04.2009	17.05.2009	EL	28
15.06.2009	30.06.2009	EL	16
01.07.2009	13.07.2009	EL	13
15.07.2009	06.08.2009	EL	23
03.05.2010	23.05.2010	HPL	21
12.07.2010	16.07.2010	EL	5
27.07.2010	30.07.2010	EL	4
03.08.2010	04.08.2010	HPL	2
06.08.2010	06.08.2010	HPL	1
10.08.2010	11.08.2010	HPL	2
13.08.2010	16.08.2010	HPL	4
19.08.2010	19.08.2010	HPL	1
23.08.2010	30.09.2010	HPL	39
01.10.2010	29.10.2010	HPL	29
30.10.2010	31.10.2010	HPL	2
01.11.2010	10.11.2010	HPL	10
23.05.2011	10.06.2011	EL	19
20.06.2011	22.06.2011	EL	3
24.10.2011	28.10.2011	EL	5
13.01.2012	23.01.2012	EL	11
25.01.2012	27.01.2012	EL	3

अनेक।

Annexure-2

Name- Smt Shyama Kumari

Emp. No. 01266

Period - 12.04.2005 to ~~Dec/ 2011~~ Mar' 2008 (Dt. of regularisation: 12.4.2005)

From	To	Type of leave	No of Days
03.01.2006	25.01.2006	EL	23
30.01.2006	31.01.2006	EL	2
01.02 2006	17.02.2006	HPL	17
18.02.2006	28.02.2006	EL	11
01.03.2006	08.03.2006	HPL	8
15.03.2006	30.04.2006	EOL	47
01.05.2006	12.09.2006	Mat Leave	135
13.09.2006	27.09.2006	EL	15
28.09.2006	30.09.2006	HPL	3
01.10.2006	07.10.2006	HPL	7
08.10.2006	31.10.2006	EOL	24
17.10.2007	18.10.2007	HPL	2
29.10.2007	30.10.2007	HPL	2
13.11.2007	16.11.2007	EL	4
21.11.2007	21.11.2007	EL	1
21.02.2008	22.02.2008	HPL	2

असंo।

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
CLUB BUILDING (NEAR POST OFFICE)
OLD JNU CAMPUS, NEW DELHI-110 067
TEL: 011-26179548

Decision No.CIC/VS/A/2012/001469/04824
Appeal No.CIC/VS/A/2012/001469
Dated: 19.09.2013

Appellant: Shri Amit Choudhary,
R/o Chamber No.122, Collectorate,
Behind Post Office, Meerut-250 001.

Respondent: Public Information Officer,
State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur,
Head Office, Tilak Marg, Post Box No.154,
Jaipur-302 005.

Date of Hearing: 19.09.2013

ORDER

RTI application:

1. The appellant filed an RTI application on 12.12.2011 seeking information pertaining to particulars and other details of officers called for interview from scale 3 to scale 4 in a certain format.

2. The PIO responded on 19.12.2011 and denied information to the appellant under section 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act. The appellant filed a first appeal on 10.01.2012 with the first appellate authority (FAA). The FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed a second appeal on 27.09.2012 with the Commission.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing through video conferencing.

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. 308, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000240
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000384
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000428
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000074
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000075
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000091
File No.CIC/BS/A/2012/000641
File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/001421
File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/001459
File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/000077
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000470
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000533
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000067
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000099
File No.CIC/BS/A/2012/000597

Complainant	Shri H.K. Bansal
Public Authority	BSNL
Dates of hearing	15.07.2013
Date of decision	15.07.2013

Facts :-

The above cited 15 appeals have been filed by the appellant herein. These are being disposed of through a common order that follows. The appellant is not present in today's hearing. BSNL is being represented by the following :-

1. Shri S.K. Bhardwaj, Superintending Engineer(CPIO);
2. Shri H.S. Bisht, S.E., Rohtak;
3. Shri R.K. Verma, Executive Engineer, Ambala;
4. Shri D.K. Jha, DO(Vig.); and
5. Shri P.K. Bhatla, ASC.

2. The Department of Telecommunications is represented by Shri N.K. Singh, Director.

3. The case-wise position is as follows :-

File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000240 :-

4. The background of the matter is that the appellant, a retired Superintending Engineer of BSNL, in the RTI application dated 7.6.2010, had sought huge personal information relating to one Shyam Lal, TO(A). The response, if any, given by the CPIO is not available in the Commission's file. I have carefully gone through the RTI application and find that the appellant has sought personal information about third party. It is pertinent to mention that the Supreme Court of India in its judgment dated 3.10.2012 in Girish Ramchandra

Deshpande had held that personal information is not liable to be disclosed unless the appellant establishes a larger public interest. Paras 12 & 13 of the order are extracted here-in-below :-

> "12. The petitioner herein sought for copies of all memos, show cause notices and censure/punishment awarded to the third respondent from his employer and also details viz. movable and immovable properties and also the details of his investments, lending and borrowing from Banks and other financial institutions. Further, he has also sought for the details of gifts stated to have accepted by the third respondent, his family members and friends and relatives at the marriage of his son. The information mostly sought for finds a place in the income tax returns of the third respondent. The question that has come up for consideration is whether the abovementioned information sought for qualifies to be "personal information" as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act.
>
> 13. We are in agreement with the CIC and the courts below that the details called for by the petitioner i.e. copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc. are qualified to be personal information as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. The performance of an employee/officer in an organization is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression "personal information", the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of that individual. Of course, in a given case, if the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer of the Appellate Authority is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information, appropriate orders could be passed but the petitioner cannot claim those details as a matter of right."

5. As noted above, the appellant is not present before the Commission to convass his case. Nor do I find any material on record to establish larger public interest. In the light of Supreme Court ruling extracted above, personal information regarding third party cannot be disclosed to the appellant. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000428 :-

6. In the RTI application dated 10.10.2011, the appellant had sought information regarding charge sheet purported to have been issued to one Tikam Singh, SE(Civil), BSNL, Rohtak. This appeal is also liable to be dismissed for the reasons cited in the preceding case.

File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000384
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000074 :-

7. In the RTI application dated 6.4.2012, the appellant had mentioned that all the dak meant for SE(C), Rohtak, was being received by Ms. Durgesh and had sought a copy of the appointment order of Ms. Durgeshd along with her attested specimen signatures. Besides, he had also sought to have a copy of the work distribution order authorizing Ms Durgesh to

receive the dak etc. During the hearing, Shri Bisht submits that vide letter dated 21.9.2011, the appellant was informed that the office of Additional CE(Civil), Rohtak, had not appointed any Ms. Durgesh to receive the dak. Besides, the appellant was also informed that no specific order had been issued for work distribution among the staff etc. It is, thus, Shri Bisht's contention that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant.

8. I am broadly satisfied with the response of the CPIO. The matter, therefore, is being closed. This disposes of both the matters.

File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000075 :-

9. In the RTI application dated 2.10.2011, the appellant had sought certain informations regarding the Show Cause Notice issued to him "for causing wrongful and abnormal delay in settlement of arbitration cases". Shri Bhardwaj submits that parawise information was provided vide letter dated 3.12.2011. He also submits that the appellant has been given inspection of the entire records on 25.6.2012. As noted above, the appellant is not present before the Commission to contest this fact. In the premises, the matter is being closed.

File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000091 :-

10. In the RTI application dated 8.8.2012, the appellant had sought copies of the minutes of the DPC proceedings concerning Shri P.K. Panigrahi and Ramanandan K.P. and the matters related there-with. This was responded to by Shri N.K. Singh, Director, vide letter dated 12.12.2012. During the hearing, Shri Singh submits that the appellant has sought third party information which cannot be disclosed under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. He also relies on the Supreme Court judgment in Girish Ramachandra Deshpande case.

11. I accept Shri Singh's contention. The appellant cannot be given copy of the DPC proceedings regarding third party. The appeal is misconceived. Dismissed.

File No.CIC/BS/A/2012/000641:-

12. It is noticed that in the RTI application dated 9.11.2011, the appellant had sought information on multiple issues. This was duly responded to by Shri N.K. Singh, Director, vide letter dated 13.12.2011. During the hearing, Shri Singh submits that the appellant had also been given inspection of the relevant records. In view of the above, I am broadly satisfied that the requirement of the law has been satisfied by the CPIO. The matter is, therefore, being closed.

File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/001421:-

13. In the RTI application dated 6.3.2013, the appellant had sought copy of the file notings regarding the disposal of communications sent by him. Shri Singh submits that the appellant was given requisite information. Besides, he was also given inspection of the relevant records. In view of this, the matter is being closed.

File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/001459
File No.CIC/BS/A/2013/000077
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000470
File No.CIC/BS/C/2012/000533
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000067
File No.CIC/BS/C/2013/000099
File No.CIC/BS/A/2012/000597:-

14. On a careful perusal of the RTI applications in the above mentioned appeals, it is noticed that the appellant has raised essentially and substantially the same issues as have been dealt with in the preceding matters. His non-appearance before the Commission to buttress his case demonstrates his apparent disinterest in these matters. I am, therefore, constrained to dismiss these appeals.

Sd/-
(M.L. Sharma)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges, prescribed under the Act, to the CPIO of this Commission.

(K.L. Das)
Deputy Registrar

Address of parties :-

1. The Supdt. Engineer(Civil) & CPIO, BSNL,
BSNL Civil Circle, Main T.E. Building,
Rohtak-124001.

2. The CPIO, BSNL, CGMT Haryana Office,
107, The Mall, Ambala Cantt-133001.

3. The CPIO, Min. of Communications & IT,
Deptt. of Telecommunications, 1110, Sanchar Bhawan,
Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001.

4. The CPIO, Min. of Communications & IT,
Deptt. of Posts, Dak Bhawan, Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110116.

5. Shri H.K. Bansal,
Kanta Niwas, 1011/24, Jagdish Colony,
Rohtak-124001.