Sh. Babu Rai - B/H.

Date: 09/04/2015

To,

CPIO,
PGCIL, Saudamini, Plot No. - 2,
Sector - 29, Gurgaon - 122001

Sub: Information under RTI act - 2005

Dear Sir,

Please refer IOM No केंका. सतर्कता : 2014/1465 dt 20/10/2014 issued by CVO. In this IOM, word "Laboratories approved by NABL" has been mentioned, kindly Provide the following information :-

1) Does NABL approve laboratory?

2) Is there other accreditation body also available in India providing accreditation to laboratories?

3) Why only NABL accredited laboratories are allowed to work with PGCIL?

4) Do you know that NABL is not part of Govt. of India, But NABL did false propaganda by writing "Department of Science and Tecnology, Govt. of India" under Its title?

5) Why only one accreditation body that is NABL has been promoted by PGCIL?

6) Does your above mentioned order assist NABL directly or Indirectly to create its monopoly in the accreditation service market by mentioning NABL approved and not mentioning appropriate wording "Laboratories accredited as per ISO/IEC 17025"

7) Does your above IOM violate the circular No 01/04/14 dt 29/04/2014 issued by CVC that is concept of transparency, and interest of equity and fairness?

An I.P.O. No 13F 624271 of Rs 10/- is enclosed as RTI fees.

Thanks with regards

Yours sincerely,

Prem Prakash,
Industrial Area, Khurai Road,
Bina, Distt. - Sagar (M.P.)
Pin. 470113

TelegraphicAddress :
"SATARKTA: New Delhi

E-Mail Address
cenvigil@nic.in

Website
www.cvc.nic.in

EPABX
24600200

फैक्स /Fax : 24651186

सत्यमेव जयते

केन्द्रीय सतर्कता आयोग
CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION

सतर्कता भवन, जी.पी.ओ. कॉम्पलैक्स,
ब्लॉक-ए, आई.एन.ए., नई दिल्ली-110023
Satarkta Bhawan, G.P.O. Complex,
Block A, INA, New Delhi 110023

सं./No. 98/ORD/1(viii)

दिनांक / Dated 29th April, 2014

Circular No.01/04/14

Sub: Short-comings in bid documents

Ref: Commission's circular No.33/7/03 dated 9th July, 2003

The Commission has been impressing upon all Organisations to ensure transparency and fairplay in all procurements/contracts. One of the concern relates to the short-comings in framing of NITs and bid documents which results in ambiguity and scope for interpretation differently during processing and award of contracts by the organisations.

2. The Commission had vide its Office Order No.33/7/03 dated 9th July, 2003 advised that whatever pre-qualification, evaluation/exclusion criteria, etc. which the organization wants to adopt should be made explicit at the time of inviting tenders so that basic concept of transparency and interests of equity and fairness are satisfied. The acceptance/rejection of any bid should not be arbitrary but on justified grounds as per the laid down specifications, evaluation/exclusion criteria leaving no room for complaints as after all, the bidders spend a lot of time and energy besides financial cost initially in preparing the bids and, thereafter, in following up with the organizations for submitting various clarifications and presentations.

3. The above instructions are reiterated for compliance by all Ministries/Departments/ Organisations.

(J Vinod Kumar)
Officer on Special Duty

To

All Chief Vigilance Officers.