

RTI REQUEST DETAILS

<b>Registration No. :</b>	PGCIL/R/2015/60059	<b>Date of Receipt :</b>	16/05/2015
<b>Type of Receipt :</b>	Online Receipt	<b>Language of Request :</b>	English
<b>Name :</b>	Smt. Ramabharti Sharma	<b>Gender :</b>	Female
<b>Address :</b>	C o Sh. P.K.SHARMA, ENGINEER (CS),, POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED,, 400/220 KV KISHENPUR SUB STN,VIA DANSAL, JAMMU, Pin:181224		
<b>State :</b>	Jammu And Kashmir	<b>Country :</b>	India
<b>Phone No. :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Mobile No. :</b>	+91-9469214357
<b>Email :</b>	ramabharti71@yahoo.in		
<b>Status(Rural/Urban) :</b>	Urban	<b>Education Status :</b>	Above Graduate
<b>Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :</b>	No	<b>Citizenship Status :</b>	Indian
<b>Amount Paid :</b>	10 )	<b>Mode of Payment :</b>	Payment Gateway
<b>Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :</b>	No(Normal)	<b>Request Pertains to :</b>	
<b>Information Sought :</b>	<p>1.0 Existing Rules for promotion in POWERGRID as elaborated hereunder, look as if to be discriminatory and violates fundamental rights.</p> <p>Two employees who were under the zone of consideration for promotion on 01.01.14 were served with chargesheets on 20.12.13 to reply within 15(Fifteen) days. One of the employee submitted his replies on 25.12.13 &amp; the Disciplinary authority awarded him a penalty of Censure before 01.01.14. DPC considers him to be promoted to next higher grade since Censure does not have any currency period. The other employee submits his reply on 02.01.14 &amp; was also censured by the Disciplinary authority. However he will not be promoted, despite declaring Fit by the DPC as per HR Policy Manual V-I Clause 7.3.3. (ii) of Statement of company policy regarding promotion of employees in executive category.</p> <p>Please intimate that article 20 of the constitution of India is not violated as no double penalty i.e. (i) Minor penalty of censure and (ii) withholding of promotion is imposed to one of the candidate and also does not violate article 14 and 16 of the constitution of India as quantum of punishment is not different for same type of penalty.</p> <p>2.0 Sealed cover procedure was adopted for promotion of my husband in CPC 2001, due to issuance of charge-sheet DT. 31.05.2002, for the so called shortages, value of which has been exaggerated approx. 05(Five) its actual value.</p> <p>May please intimate that whether any employee of NR-II against</p>		

whom a criminal case was pending in civil court has been promoted in POWERGRID during pendency of the case in between 2006 to 2010 without following Sealed cover procedure.

Print

Close