



No.19/4/2016-PG (RTI)  
Government of India  
Ministry of Power  
Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi – 110001  
Telefax No. 23730264  
\*\*\*\*\*

Dated the 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2016.

To,

Shri Amarjeet Singh Ruprai,  
523-A, Model Town Extension,  
Ludhiana, Punjab-141002.

**Sub: Information sought for under the Right to Information Act, 2005 by Shri Amarjeet Singh Ruprai, 523-A, Model Town Extension, Ludhiana, Punjab-141002 – regarding .**

Sir,

Reference is invited to your application bearing online registration no. POWER/R/2016/50127 dated 23.02.2016, seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 and to say that the information sought in your application may be available with Central Electricity Authority (CEA) / or PGCIL, Gurgaon for which your application is being transferred to them for further appropriate action.

Shri Ghanshyam Prasad, Director (PG), Ministry of Power, Room No. 427, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001 is the Appellate Authority.

Yours faithfully,

(Sanjeev Jain)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Copy to

- ~~i.~~ CPIO/ Nodal Officer, RTI, CEA, R.K.Puram, New Delhi along with RTI application dated 23.02.2016 of Shri Amarjeet Singh Ruprai with the request that in terms of provisions of Sec 6(3) of the Act the aforesaid RTI application may be taken as transferred to provide available information directly to the applicant.
- ii. CPIO/ Nodal Officer, RTI, PGCIL, Gurgaon along with RTI application dated 23.02.2016 of Shri Amarjeet Singh Ruprai with the request that in terms of provisions of Sec 6(3) of the Act the aforesaid RTI application may be taken as transferred to provide available information to the applicant in terms of provision of the RTI Act.
- iii. CPIO & US (RTI), MOP w.r.t to Registration No. POWER/R/2016/50127 dated 23/02/2016.

(Sanjeev Jain)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

## RTI REQUEST DETAILS

**Registration No. :** POWER/R/2016/50127      **Date of Receipt :** 23/02/2016

**Type of Receipt :** Online Receipt      **Language of Request :** English

**Name :** Amarjeet Singh Ruprai      **Gender :** Male

**Address :** 523-A, Model Town Extension, Ludhiana, Pin:141002

**State :** Punjab      **Country :** India

**Phone No. :** +91-1612461607      **Mobile No. :** +91-9872571661

**Email :** as\_ruprai@yahoo.com

**Status(Rural/Urban) :** Urban      **Education Status :**

**Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :** No      **Citizenship Status :** Indian

**Amount Paid :** 10 )      **Mode of Payment :** Payment Gateway

**Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :** No(Normal)      **Request Pertains to :** Sandeep Jain(Power to : Grid)

400 KV D/C Transmission Line, Malerkotla to Amritsar by Essel Infraprojects Ltd.

1. As per recommendations made by committee for payment of compensation for land used by transmission lines i.e. 85% of land value for tower base area and 15% of land value for right of way corridor is good when the cable route is away from developments / residential plots and it is passing through only agricultural lands which will stay as agricultural lands for decades to come. This is no good at all when cable route is made to pass through residential / developing areas and such compensation cannot be justified at all.
2. My land is situated on the State highway having tremendous land value and is amid developments. Collector rate is far below the actual market value of land. More over the cable route passing through my land is such that it has taken above one acre of my land, rendering this area to be unfit for any future use as no construction can be carried out under cable corridor. Land being surrounded by two side with road and passage and back side school plot, it is most uneconomical to use it for agriculture too because to construct tube well and have tractor plus manpower just for one acre of land will cost much more than the produce. As a result this land will lie as a barren land amidst residential developments. How above meager compensation can be justified in this case.
3. Collector rates anywhere in India do not reflect the true value of land. Market rate. Market rates are generally 5-6 times of collector rates. For any compensation market rates must prevail. If not let me know why.
4. Can Govt. while recommending to pay compensation at Collector rate, ensure to give me land next to my land at collector rate. If yes let me know. If not then Govt. has no right to impose un-practically low collector rates to be used for compensation purposes.

3/143/2016/46005(SJ)

18/3/16.

RD, put up.

18/03

SO(PG)

5. Please let me know how Collector rates can be justified to pay compensation while actual market rates are 5-6 times of these rates. I will look forward to hear from you.

[Print](#) [Save](#) [Close](#)