


M-21



पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड  
दक्षिणी क्षेत्र पारेषण प्रणाली-II,  
क्षेत्रीय मुख्यालय, बेंगलूर  
(लोक सूचना विभाग)



**अंतर कार्यालय जापन / Inter Office Memo**

प्रेषक From: महाप्रबंधक(सीपीआईओ)  
द क्षे पा प्र -2, बेंगलोर

सेवा में To: श्री जसबीर सिंह,  
वरिष्ठ महाप्रबंधक (सीपी)  
एवं सीपीआईओ  
केन्द्रीय कार्यालयगुडगाँव ,

संदर्भ सं. Ref No: पीजीसी/आरटीआई/853/2019/1839 दिनांक Date: जून 03, 2019

अध्याय 2 पृष्ठ 5 , आर टी आई एक्ट 2005 से उद्धृत

- 5(4). केंद्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी, ऐसे किसी अन्य अधिकारी की सहायता मांग कर सकेगा, जिसे वह अपने कृत्यों के समुचित निर्वहन के लिए आवश्यक समझे।
- 5(5). कोई अधिकारी, जिसकी उपधारा (4) के अधीन सहायता चाही गई है, उसकी सहायता चाहने वाले यथास्थिति, केंद्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी या राज्य लोक सूचना अधिकारी को सभी सहायता प्रदान करेगा और इस अधिनियम के उपबंधों के किसी उल्लंघन के प्रयोजनों के लिए ऐसे अन्य अधिकारी को, यथास्थिति, केंद्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी या राज्य लोक सूचना अधिकारी समझा जाएगा।

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of application dated 04/05/2019 from Shri G.Ramamoorthy, (retired POWERGRID employee) under RTI Act, 2005. The information sought in the application is pertaining to the construction of tower package for 765 kV D/C Tuticorin PS to Salem PS (Part-I).

In this regard, kind attention is drawn to the following RTI provisions:

**Quote from Chapter II page 5, RTI Act 2005**

- 5.(4) The Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may seek the assistance of any other officer as he or she considers it necessary for the proper discharge of his or her duties.
- 5.(5) Any officer, whose assistance has been sought under sub-section (4), shall render all assistance to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, seeking his or her assistance and for the purpose of any contravention of the provision of this Act, such other officer shall be treated as a Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be.

**Unquote**

The information which is pertaining to Corporate Vigilance may please be provided.

*Vigilance*  
*M. NG*  
*SKP*  
*10/6/19*

*Sh. K. N. B.*  
*10/6/19*  
*बैन*  
*10/6/19*

*वी. राजेश*  
*03/06/19*  
(वी. राजेश)

sr2cpio@powergrid.co.in

संलग्नक : उपरोक्तानुसार Encl: a/a  
प्रतिलिपि: कार्यपालक निदेशक (द.क्षे-II) (अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के सूचनार्थ)




**Under Section 6 of the Right to Information Act, 2005**

**From**

G. Ramamoorthy  
S/o Mr. Gurusamy  
2/54 North street  
Chithrampatti Post - 628 502  
Kovilpatti Tk  
Tuticorin District

**To**


The Public Information Officer  
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited  
Southern Region Transmission System - II RHQ  
Near RTO Driving Test Track



**Sir,**

**Sub :-** Request to furnish the following information

1. Kindly furnish the Name of contractor and the Contract value of the contract awarded for construction of tower package P239-TW02 for 765 KV D/C Tuticorin Pooling Station, Salem Pooling Station (Part-I) to M/s Electrical Manufacturing Co.Ltd., Kolkata (EMC) vide LOA No.CC-CS/99-SR2/ TW-935/ 3/G4/ CA-II/3940 dated 18.11.2011. ✓
2. Kindly furnish the period taken for completion of the contract mentioned under (1) above and inform whether the Contract was completed within the awarded value ✓
3. Please inform whether any Sub-contract approval was given in case of the abovesaid Contract mentioned under (i) above. If so, please give the Company to whom the said sub-contract was given; ✓
4. Kindly furnish the details of Inspections conducted by the Vigilance officials in respect of the above said contract, during the contract period. ✓
5. Kindly furnish whether any irregularity was pointed out by the Vigilance officials during their inspection conducted as above; ✓



ole

- ✓ 6. Kindly furnish details of disciplinary action taken against the connected officials against whom incriminatory report was received from the Vigilance officials as mentioned in (5) above.
7. The exact number of locations in both Part-I and Part-II of the above work may please be furnished.
- ✓ 8. How many employees were given charge sheet by way of disciplinary action for the above line. (The details of employees designation wise for each part may please be furnished.)
- ✓ 9. Further, how many employees were charge sheeted and finally how many out of them were given punishment. (Their Grade, Pay Scale, duration of stay etc,)
- ✓ 10. How many of the charge sheeted employees were given transfer orders? How many of them again returned to their original place of posting?
11. It was understood that in some locations recasting/strengthening were done. In that how many of the locations the sample concreting cubes failed may also be furnished indicating the locations.
- ✓ 12. It may please be furnished that as to whether those who supervised/responsible for above recasting were given charge sheet or not for their lapses? If not, why?
13. During the execution of above line, various meetings were held with employees and contractors to review the progress work from time to time. The MOM/details of such meetings may please be furnished.
- ✓ 14. What is the purpose of Inspection Committee being deputed to above construction site? And who are members of that committee? Their names, designation, department may please be furnished.

15. Whether this type of committee is deputed to each site where construction work is undertaken? 4
16. Whether all the members of inspection committee are still in the rolls of company? If not please indicate clearly whether they are transferred, resigned or retired from POWERGRID.
17. If transferred/resigned please furnish the reasons for such act (Please indicate whether such persons belong to vigilance department.
18. In case of transfer please mention the place of posting, date of relieving and joining to new place.
19. In case of resignation the organization where such employee joined may please be indicated.
20. It is to be made clear that who had borne the entire expenditure towards recasting of failed foundations, strengthening of towers? Whether by POWERGRID or by Contractor i.e. EMC?
21. When the line was commissioned?
22. Whether any liquidated damages levied against contractor?
23. As per FQP whether JEs having power to verify stores records of contractors for reconciliation of cement and steel maintained by them in their custody? Please clarify.
24. At the early stage of execution of above line, river sand was used however; subsequently the execution was done by using M-sand. Who had given approval for using M-sand?
25. It is also to be furnished that this much large quantity of M-sand who has supplied in this site. Indicate the name of supplier.
26. Whether the Inspection Committee had studied/examined the impact of use M-sand in concreting.

Whether any stern action taken against contractor for forging of Test results as if it was obtained from Thyagrajar College Madurai. Please indicate the status.

I also undertake to pay the necessary fees for the furnishing the all the above documents .

Thanking You

Place : Madurai

Date: 04.05.2019,  
~~10.04.2019~~

Yours faithfully



(G.RARAMOORTHY)