Through RPAD FIRST APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE R.T.I. ACT, 2005

	1)	To,	First Appellate authority/ Senior General Managar & CPIO; e-mail id :sr2cpio@powergrid.co.in, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited., Singanayakanahalli, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru 560 064.
	2)	Name and Address of the Appellant	Rachana.S D/o Sachidananda R/at "Arunodaya Nilaya" B.L.Gowda Nagar, Hiriyur, Hiriyur Taluk, Chitradurga District.
	3)	Particulars of the PIO. a) Name b) Address	The Public Information Officer/ Assistant Public Information Officer, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited RTO road, Siganayakanahalli, Yelahanka, Bengaluru-560064
	4)	Details of the information sought under Section 6(1)	Attached as Annexure-A
	5)	Particulars of the Applicant fee.	Indian Postal order number: (1) 50F 541548,Date: 09-07-2020 (2) 50F 541549, Date:09-07-2020 (3) 50F 541550, Date:09-07-2020
2	6)	Date of submission of application for seeking information.	23-07-2020
	7)	Reason for Appeal.	The appellant has sought for information under Section 6(1) & 7(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 from the respondent. Copy of the application is herewith enclosed as Annexure-A. The respondent has partly furnished the information and also furnished false information. Hence, the appellant has
			preferred this appeal on the following grounds. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL 1. The respondent has grossly erred in not furnishing the particulars as sought by the appellant.
		Tortseekmeen	2. The appellant has sought for information from the respondent that on which date the Power Grid Corporation of



and the Section of th

India Limited has installed electric wire line to the tower installed in land bearing Sy. No. 245/1 of Metikurke village, Hiriyur Taluk, but the respondent has not furnished the date of installation of electric wire in land bearing Sy. No. 245/1. Instead of that, they have furnished the information that Power Grid Corporation of India Limited has only user right and no acquisition of land is involved in the process of construction of transmission line/tower, it comes under Electricity Act, 2003. This information is false for the reason that, in view of Section 105 of Right to Fair Compensation and Rehabilitation and Re-settlement Act, 2013, Electricity Act 2003 comes under the above said Act as per Schedule IV of the said Act. By suppressing this fact the respondent has furnished false information in this regard.

3. The appellant has sought information from the respondent as to the award passed to land bearing Sy.No. 245/1. The respondent has furnished information that the compensation given as Rs. 2.25 Lakhs for tower area; Rs. 6,40800/- for corridor area as per DC order and Rs. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{$\phi$}}},08,378$ and Rs. 3,23,468/- was paid for damage of pomegranate plants as per Horticulture Department assessment and the line does not pass over Sy.No. 245/7. No doubt, the appellant has sought information as to Sy.No. 245/7, but by oversight due to typographical mistake it was typed as Sy.No. 245/7, but the correct Survey number was 248/7 of Metikurki village. The respondent has not furnished the copy of the award passed DC or Power Grid Corporation of India Limited to land bearing Sy.No. 245/1 and 248/7. The respondent has also not furnished information as to the extent of the area of tower and corridor area. How many acres of land was taken for installation of electric wire in land bearing Sy. No. 24\$/1 and 248/7 has not been furnished by the respondent. Even they have not furnished copy of the award passed by DC pertaining to above said lands. The number of pomegranate trees is also not furnished. How much amount was awarded to pomegranate trees as per Horticulture report also not furnished by the respondent. It is crystal clear that the

respondent has not furnished full particulars of the award as sought by the appellant. Therefore, the information furnished by the respondent is incomplete and not proper and same is not in accordance with the Right to Information Act and Rules.

A STATE OF THE STA

The state of the s

Noted to the second of the second sec

The state of the s

THE PARTY OF THE P

THE LEASE BUILDING THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

Children of the Children of the Communication

A LOS TRANSPORTERS

es care temperature productive and the contract of the contrac

armen market have the property that

- 4. The appellant has sought the details of amount awarded in favour of Smt. R. Katyayanamma. In this regard, the respondent has not furnished particulars, simply he has shown that details given as per reply to question No. 2 above. Hence, the respondent has grossly erred in furnishing the details of the information as sought by appellant as per item No. 3.
- 5. With regard to item No. 4 is concerned, the appellant has sought the detailed particulars as to the erection of electrical wire in Sy. No. 245/7. In this regard the respondent has furnished that contract awarded to M/s KRRTPPL for laying of Transmission Line. But, the respondent has not furnished any documents i.e., license issued to KRRTPPL Company to install Transmission Line in land bearing Sy.No. 245/1 and 248/7 of Metikurki village, the copy of the licence, condition of the license, agreement effected between licensor and licensee has not been furnished by the respondent. Hence, the respondent has grossly erred in furnishing the particulars to item No. 4.
- 6. With regard to item No. 5 is concerned; the respondent has furnished the information that Transmission Line does not pass through Sy.No. 245/7 of Metikurki village. No doubt, as already submitted above, that by oversight Sy.No. 248/7 is typed as Sy.No. 245/7; but the respondent has not furnished information to the effect that whether the Power Grid Corporation of India Limited permitted M/s KRRTPPL Company to enter the land bearing Sy.No. 245/1 and 248/7 by destructing the well grown trees, bunds, crops, plants, amenities, Nagara katte, Naga Linga Pushpa tree with katte,

- - moonaren saar salat Sira Labat Vii

check dam etc to install the tower and erection of wire in the corridor area. For the above said reasons, the respondent has failed to furnish the full particulars as sought by the appellant as per Annexure-A.

Therefore, it is respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Authority may kindly be pleased to:

Direct the respondent to furnish full particulars as sought by the appellant and allow this appeal as it is very necessary in the interest of justice.

Place: Hiriyur

Date: 11-09-2020

Rachana. S Signature of the Appellant