

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

ANNEXURE-A
[SEE RULE 6 [1]]
Format of Application form under
The Right to Information Rules, 2005

DATE: 07TH AUG , 2021

TO:

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LTD
REGISTRY OFFICE IN NEW DELHI
B9, QUTUB INSTITUTIONAL AREA, KATWARIA SARAI,
NEW DELHI, DELHI - 110019

1.Full name of the Applicant	JAYANTA KUMAR NAYAK 87 SINDHU, FLAT NO.6, 1 ST FLOOR, MARINE DRIVE, MUMBAI.400 002
2.Address	AS ABOVE I.D. ENCLOSED
3.Particulars of Information required	<p>PLEASE ANSWER / PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR MY RTI, POINT WISE WITH STATING MY POINTS AND YOUR ANSWER / INFORMATION BELOW IT, FOR CONVENIENCE PURPOSE:</p> <p>1. PLEASE INFORM DO YOU SUPPLY POWER TO INDUSTRIES. AS AN OPEN ACCESS.</p> <p>1. A. PLEASE INFORM RATES OF YOUR UNITS FOR SUPPLY TO FACTORY IN KHOPOLI IN RAGAD DISTRICT OF MAHARASHTRA.</p> <p>1. B. PLEASE INFORM IN CASE YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO SUPPLY THE INFORMATION, THEN PLEASE INFORM WHO AS KNOWN TO YOU CAN SUPPLY SUCH INFORMATION.</p> <p>1. C. I AM ENCLOSING BLANK INDIAN POSTAL ORDER FOR RS. 10/- ENABLING YOUR DEPARTMENT TO FILL THE CORRECT NAME UNDER WHICH IPO IS REQUIRED WHICH KINDLY DO.</p> <p>1. D. I AM REQUESTING FOR INFORMATION AS IT EXIST AND DO NOT TREAT MY RTI AS ASKING FOR OPINION.</p>
ii) #The period to which the information relates	
iii) \$ description of the information required	


CTOIL

*Ms. Neelam, Dam,
H. discuss*

*Ms Neelam, / for n.a.l.
Dam.
19/08/21*

iv] Whether information is required by post or person	BY POST
v] In case by Post [Ordinary, Registered or Speed]	ORDINARY
vi] Purpose for which the information is required	TO PROTECT RIGHT TITLE AND INTEREST

1. KINDLY REPLY AS PER **TIME BOUND CONDITIONS OF RTI.**
2. **PLEASE DO NOT DIRECT FOR REPLY TO WEBSITE ADDRESS** FOR THE ANSWERS ASKED. KINDLY PROVIDE SPECIFIC RELEVANT REPLY PERTAINING TO MY RTI FOR THE QUESTIONS/CLARIFICATIONS ASKED AND IF THERE ARE ANY CHARGES TO PROVIDE THE SAME, THAT IS FOR PHOTO COPYING ETC, PLEASE LET ME KNOW. I WILL PAY TO GIVE ME ANSWERS.
3. PLEASE MENTION, MY ASKED POINTS AND GIVE INFORMATION AS WHATEVER IS AVAILABLE.
4. KINDLY REPLY IN ENGLISH OR IN HINDI, FOR WHICH I SHALL BE OBLIGED.
5. PLEASE FORWARD MY RTI TO CONCERNED PERSON / DEPARTMENT UNDER COPY TO ME IN CASE THIS INFORMATION REQUESTED IS NOT WITH YOU.



JAYANTA KUMAR NAYAK

DATE: 07TH AUG, 2021
PLACE: MUMBAI

आयकर विभाग

INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT

JAYANTA KUMAR NAYAK

LAKSHMAN NAYAK

04/05/1986

Permanent Account Number

ANYRN4715H

LAKSHMAN NAYAK

Signature

भारत सरकार

GOVT. OF INDIA



102701

CM's information officer fined Rs 25,000 for delaying RTI reply

Query filed by ex-Central Information Commissioner Shailesh Gandhi

| Yogesh.Naik
@timesgroup.com

TWEETS @yogeshMIRROR

The state information commission has levied a fine of Rs 25,000 on an officer from the Chief Minister's Secretariat for not giving information on time to former central information commissioner **Shailesh Gandhi (in picture)**.

Gandhi had asked for information on number of grievances filed and redressed on the Maharashtra government's online portal, and also for a copy of these on a CD, on November 13, 2015.

The general administration department (GAD) said it did not have the information and sent it to the CM's office, which returned it to GAD on December 4, 2015. On January 2, 2016, GAD transferred this to the Technical Directorate, which in turn appears to have passed on the case. Ultimately, the principal information officer (PIO) in the CM's office, Desk Officer Anagha Sathe, in a letter dated April 2 (posted on May 3), said about 9,000 grievances were filed and most were resolved, Gandhi said.

"To my request for copies of the grievances, the PIO [Sathe] invoked Section 8 (1) (f) of the RTI Act, which exempts 'information received in confidence from foreign government'." Gandhi told *Mirror*. Sathe first sent it back to GAD, and ultimately gave only part information, Gandhi said.

Subsequently, an intrusion into the privacy of complainants was cited as a reason to withhold the information, and on November 17,

2015, GAD transferred the request to the CM's office. On December 4, 2015, the CM's office sent the RTI application back to GAD.

"In a reply dated January 2, GAD said the grievance redressal portal was developed with the cooperation of the Technical Directorate, hence the information sought by me was discussed informally with the concerned officers of the directorate. My original application dated 13/11/2015 was being sent to the Technical Directorate, Mumbai with a request to provide the required information to me," Gandhi said.

In a reply dated June 15, 2016, Sathe sent a response giving some figures, but refused to provide a CD of the grievances, or how they were redressed, claiming exemption under Section 8 (1) (f), Gandhi said.

Finally this year, Gandhi filed a second appeal with the State Information Commission. The commission, unfortunately, upheld the denial of the CD, claiming it could lead to the disclosure of information relating to privacy, and was hence exempt under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. But last week, the commission imposed a penalty of Rs 25,000 on Sathe.

"This indicates that the grievance portal is perhaps just a façade, since nobody seemed to know who handles it. The PIO [Sathe] in the CMO gave part-information and claimed the grievances were given in confidence by a foreign government, meaning they are 'secret' secrets. The reason for not giving detailed information was put down to intrusion on the privacy of the complainants," Gandhi said. "This is a unique, hilarious experience for me in RTI. It was a ping-pong match ending with a penalty."

