

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

#### POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED (A Government of India Enterprise)

Registered Post with Acknowledgement Due

Ref: SR-II: RTI:F-1300/21-22/3266

Date: 31st December, 2021

Shri P.Y.Kishor, Advocate #1152, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 1<sup>st</sup> Cross, Vijayanagar, Bangalore 560 040.

Sir,

### Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005 – reg.

This has reference to your application dated 24/11/2021 under RTI Act, 2005 received at this office on 26/11/2021 and our intimation letter dated 23/12/2021. The information sought in the application in furnished below:

- 1. Copy of notice dated 08/02/19 enclosed as Annexure-I. Annexures not available at this office.
- 2. Not available at this office
- 3. Copy of notice dated 13/02/2019 enclosed as Annexure-II. Annexures not available at this office.
- 4. Not available at this office

As per section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005, if required, you may file an appeal to the First Appellate Authority of the organisation within 30 days of the issue of this order. The address of the First Appellate Authority is given below:

Shri S.Ravi, Executive Director (SRTS-II) Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., Singanayakanahalli, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore 560 064.

e-mail: sr2edo@powergrid.co.in

Tel.No.: 080-23093701

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(K.V.SATYANARAYANA)

General Manager & CPIO e-mail id: sr2cpio@powergrid.co.in

Tel.No.: 080-23093711

Encl.: As above

Copy to: ED(SRTS-II), Appellate Authority, POWERGRID, SRTS-II, Bangalore - for kind information.

Website: www.powergrid.in

94495 52275

# Samarthana Associates

Smt. K. M. ROHINI, B.Sc., LL.B Smt. N. K. KAMALA, B.A., LL.B. Q

# 1192, 35th 'B' Cross. 28th Main, 4th 'T' Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore - 41.

Mobile: 93437 25687

Tel.: 2663 9814

**ADVOCATES** 

To.

The AGM (E&A) Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., SRTS-II, Bangalore

Sir.

Under the instruction and on behalf of our clients Smt.B.M.Bhagya, Smt.B.M.Vanaja ,Smt.B.M.Padma and Smt.B.M.Rekha ,we would like to bring into your notice and for your immediate action over the same, the following facts and events with respect of the property of our clients bearing Sy.No.7/1/and 7/2, of Thirumagondana Halli Tobugere Hobli, Doddaballapura Taluk Bangalore District ,as follows:

- 1. Our clients informs that they are the co-parceners /joint owners of the lands in Sy.No.7/1 to the extent of 2 Acres 19 Guntas and lands 7/2, to the extent of 2 Acres 39 Guntas totally measuring 5 Acres 18 Guntas of Thirumagondana Halli Tobugere Hobli, Doddaballapura Taluk District, hereinafter referred to as Schedule property, having acquired the same by way of Judgment and Decree passed by the Honb'le City Civil judge in O.S.No.8369/2010 .
- Our clients further informs us that their brother Sri.B.M..Balraj , is not the only child to their parents. The said B.M.Balraj , had tried to get his name entered in the revenue records of the above mentioned lands by posing himself as only child to his parents and our clients immediately had registered their strong objections in writing in the office of the Tahasildar, Doddaballapur.
- Our clients informs us that there is hi-tension wire passing through the above mentioned lands making such area not cultivable. Our clients are very well aware of the hi tension wire passing their lands, but they all jointly owning the lands along with their brother Sri.B.M.Balraj and his son Sri.B. Vivekanandasagar, as per to the judgement and decree passed by the

Boscalar

Honb'le Court. The shares of both the Petitioners and the respondents will be clearly demarked in the Final decree proceedings

- Our clients further informs us that when they went to inquire about their lands in Doddaballapur, they were shocked to hear that their brother 4. Sri.B.M.Balraj, had taken away all the compensation amount by producing fraudulent, cooked up and false family tree of Late. B.K. Munivenkataswamy, projecting himself as the only child, and has taken away all the compensation amount of Rs.19,00,000/-(Rupees Nineteen Lakhs Only) for himself, depriving our client of their legal, rightful share, under the Decree..
  - Our clients informs us that all the documents furnished by the said B.M.Balraj, are all concocted documents which are all blatantly cooked up and by misrepresentation and suppression of the true facts. Hence, it is incumbent upon your Authority to hold an detailed enquiry with respect to the fraudulent documents furnished by the said B.M.Balraj, and to take strict criminal action against the said B.M.Balraj , for the offence of forgery, fabricating and concoction, cheating, deceiving the Government Authority. Our clients are running pillar to post seeking Justice.

In the light of the above, we call upon your authority for immediate detail inquiry and to take stern criminal action on the said B.M.Balraj and also to direct him to pay back the amount illegally received by him to your authority.

Yours' faithfully Moderala

## Enclosures:

5

- 1. Judgement and decree.
- 2. Documents furnished by B.M.Balraj to your authority.

Copy To,

SRI. B.M. BALRAJ.

STO. LATE. B.K. MUNIVENKATASWAMY RIA NO: 24, ST. Rama mandir Road. r.v. puram. B. love.

Ana-FT

N. SURESHA & ASSOCIATES Advocates

Branch office. No. 24, Sri Rama Mandir Road, Basavanagudi, Bangalore

Date: 13.02.2019

To,

The AGM (E and A),

Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.,

SRTS- II, Bangalore.

Sub:- Reply to the Application filed by B.M. Bhagya and Others Dated 02.02.2019 addressing to AGM, PGCI.

Arms of the Post of the Parkets

Respected Sir,

Under the Instruction and on behalf of my client of Mr. B.M. Balaraj, I would like to bring to your kind notice and immediate action, the following facts and events are with respect of the property of my clients bearing no 7/1 and 7/2, of Thirumagondana Halli, Tobugere Hobli, Doddaballapura Taluk, Bangalore District and an application filed by Smt. B.M. Bhagya, Smt. B.M. Vanaja, Smt. B.M. Padma, Smt. B.M. Rekha through an Advocate Associates namely, Samarthana Associates. For the sake of brevity and better understanding of the possession of parties B.M. Bhagya, B.M. Vanaja, B.M. Padma, B.M. Rekha are the Applicants/ Plaintiffs and Mr. B.M. Balaraj is the Opponent/ Defendant.

- 1. My client informed that the above Applicant is filed before The AGM (E&A), Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd, SRTS-II, Bangalore on 08.02.2019 is unlawful, barred and bald. The Applicants has deliberately described the same with ulterior motive. The Application is false, frivolous and vexatious. It is false to mention that the Applicants is the co-parceners/ Joint Owners of the above survey number under the suit no O.S. No. 8369/2010 because only the preliminary proceeding is done and there is lot of scope for Appeal and Final Decree Proceeding (FDP) has not yet completed.
- 2. My client further informs us that Mr B.M. Balaraj is the owner in possession of above mentioned survey number. He is paying the property taxes, using and maintaining the property, making expenditure for development of the property, he engaging in the agricultural activity and he has inherited the property (Sale deed 1944 Annexed as A). In the year 1970 it self his name appeared in the RTC (copy of RTC is Annexed B) and for the sake of tax and smooth running of the family, later it came to his mother name P. Jayalakashmamma.
- 3. My client informs us that the Applicants has file a false and frivolous, fraudulent and concocted case against the Opponent in City Civil Court, Bangalore as O.S 8369/2010 and has failed to establish the case and miserably failed. Even after knowing that the Basavanagudi property was belonging to B.M Balaraj through a registered deed they filed a suit in court for grabbing the property portraying that they are a co-parceners / Joint owners by cooked up false stories and providing

37

2012 h

concocted evidences (May refer Judgement submitted by the Applicant). The Applicants are so self-cantered, greedy, narrow minded and tried to grab the property of B.M.Balaraj but they could not succeed. Further that even after B.M. Balaraj left the Jayanagar property from 1980 for enjoyment of the Applicants with huge bungalow and revenue generating building and he stayed in a 90 year old tails roof house. The applicants are so greedy that they tried to grab all the properties that to even now they are enjoying the properties rental income with out sharing. Wherein B.M Balaraj is the one who built the building and repaid the loan (Annexed Loan repayment 7-challens as C - and loan statement — D) made by his father and took care of the entire family for which today they proceed years.

- 4. My client informed that Applicants have filed false applications and wrongly swore in affidavit (Annexed E) filed for katha change in respect of Jayanagar Property, portraying that Late. Munivenaktaswamy had only daughters and got Khata changed at BBMP, by played fraud against the brother. The applicants have forged B.M. Balaraj signature for getting the original documents of Jayanagar Property discharged from Karnataka Housing Board (Affidavit is Annexed F) even after knowing, he did not raise his little finger and objected rather pardoned them. This shows that applicants are not in clean hands they are tainted.
- 5. My client has informed that the O.S 8369/ 2010 case got preliminary judgement on 23.04.2018. Applicants has not filed any appeal and limitation period for appeal is lapsed, and has not filed any Final Decree Proceeding which shows that they are trying to drag, delay the proceeding of the court and derailing the course of Justice in the name of settlement, where in as claimed by the applicants that there share over the survey number 7/1 and 7/2 is not yet finally demarcated and it is yet to decided, tell then the matter is still pending in the City Civil Court, (CCH 31) Bangalore. As stated by the applicants in their application Para 3 (Application annexed G). According to the Prakash Vs Pulavathis Case supreme court has layed down that the female co-parceners would only get the property share of the ancestors, if the father is alive as on 2005 and the law is only prospective. But in the instant case father died in the year 1980 and the opponent will challenge the share of the applicants in the appropriate forum.
  - 6. My client informed that it is falsely stated in Para 2 of the application that they have filed written objection for the Katha Transfer of the survey no. 7/1 and 7/2, but there is no such application is filed by any of the Applicants. As stated in Para 3 and 4 it is sated that they were "aware of the Hi tension wire and inquired about the land and were shocked that compensation was taken" are all false, wherein the properties' acquired by the Government was notified on 08.08.2014 (Notification is Annexed- H) acquired and used by POWER CORPORATION for hi-tension power transmission of 765 K.V Madhugiri- Dharmpuri Line, if they would have know about the land they would have approached the court or appropriate

authorities for appropriate action, but no efforts is made by them, it is B. M Balaraj who is using the land cultivating and maintaining the land by paying taxes and the same is admitted by the Applicant in the court (Please refer the Annexure – I Page 17 and 18) and applicant was no ware connected to the land and neither are in possession nor enjoyment, as the matter of fact they have no Right to claim any share as there is long delay and laches, actually it is the opponent who is paying tax (Tax Receipt Annexed –J) cultivating and is in possession and compensation was also taken long back. If they have any claim over compensation then they have to approach Court and not Power Grid Corporation. Hence the application should be rejected.

7. My clients informs us that documents submitted by the BM Balaraj are all correct and there is no misrepresentation the order of grant of compensation is B.M.Balaraj is correct and justifiable, the nature of the application is a civil in nature and jurisdiction of the matter shall fall to City civil court to decide the matter, if any. My client is a law abiding person and he would accept the order of court, if any. Hence the application has to be dismissed.

Thanking You

### Annexure:

- 1. Annexed A Sale deed 1944
- 2. Annexed B copy of RTC is
- 3. Annexed- C Loan repayment 7-challens
- 4. Annexed D loan statement
- 5. Annexed E Affidavit submitted to BBMP
- 6. Annexed F Affidavit submitted Karnataka Housing Board

CC. B.M Vanaja and Others Residing at no. 1300, 32 F Cross, 28 Main, 4 T Block, Jayanagar 41.

and saffering a soft for the specific for the first and another a street one of the soft made of the soft of the s

· ... The state of the state of

Blancat adapt

Marticle (1990), decide and folders and conscious of the same of the conscious of the consc