То

Shri.B.Ananth Sharma, CS and Appellate authority, Central office, Power Grid Corporation Ltd Saudamini, Plot No.2,Sector 29, Gurgaon-122001,Haryana. Email:appellate.cc@powergrid.co.in

Sir,

Sub: Appeal against the reply furnished to my RTI request dated 11.11.2022 Ref: Reply dated 12.12.2022

Kindly refer to my RTI Application No. PGCIL/R/E/22/00374 dated 11.11.2022 seeking information under RTI Act 2005.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by the CPIO vide letter dated 09-12-2022 to the following points, I am filing this First Appeal under RTI Act 2005.

Information	aguabt		Information furnished	Domorko
Information sought				Remarks
1.1 Please provide the information			The information sought is	The CPIO has
related to payment of Performance			personal information in	denied
		nief Vigilance	nature, disclosure of	information citing
Officer, Power Grid Corporation of			which has no relationship	section 8(1)(j) of
India Ltd, year wise from the			to any public activity or	RTI Act 2005.
financial year 2009-10 to 2019-			interest, or which would	The Performance
2020 in the			cause unwarranted	Related Pay to
Financial	PRP	Name of	invasion of the privacy of	CVOs is paid from
year	amount	CVO	the individual. Therefore	the Consolidated
	paid	who	the disclosure of such	funds of the
		received	information is exempted	Government.
			under Section 8(1)(j) of	Moreover, no
			the RTI Act, 2005.	personal
				information of any
				officer is sought
				for*
1.4 Please supply attested			Payment of PRP to CVO	It is to mention
photocopie	s of	the office	is based on applicable	that no file would
Notesheet/	document	approving	Government guidelines	be complete
payment o	f the PRP	to the CVO	mentioned in reply at SI	without note
		-17, 2017-18,	No.1.5 below.	sheets approved
		(5 financial		by competent
years)		X ⁻		authority. The
, ,				CPIO without
				even checking the
				relevancy and
				without
				application of
				mind suppressed
				mind Suppressed

exchequer	Public would
have	been
exploited.	

*PRP is paid to the CVOs from the Tax payers money. Expenses of the same do not come under confidentiality as the information sought for is official in nature and not any personal information like his GPF balance, other savings with Government etc., sought for.

Moreover, Delhi High Court in Vijay Prakash v. Union of India(WP(c) 803/2009) laid down certain guiding principles under section 8(1) (j). The Delhi Court made the observations at para 20(iii) that:" whether the disclosure will furnish any information required to establish accountability or transparency in the use of public resources" In this instant case, it is pertinent to note that no personal information of CVOs has been sought for. CPIO has rejected by citing an irrelevant section of RTI Act which is nothing but suppression of facts and the purpose of RTI ensuring the transparency and accountability of the public authority dealing with the tax payers money is defeated.

In view of the above, it is requested to direct the CPIO to provide the information in r/o 1.1 and supply copy of note sheets sought under No.1.4 of my RTI request dated 11.11.2022 and to ensure the transparency of the public authority as per RTI act.