

RTI REQUEST DETAILS	
Registration No. : PGCIL/R/E/20/00255	Date of Receipt : 15/07/2020
Type of Receipt : Online Receipt	Language of Request : English
Name : Mayank	Gender : Male
Address : 70, Usha Roopak Awas,, Kamalwaganja Road,, Kusumkhera, Haldwani, Pin:263139	
State : Uttarakhand	Country : India
Phone No. : Details not provided	Mobile No. : +91-9897306622
Email : juris.open@aol.com	
Status(Rural/Urban) : Rural	Education Status :
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? : No	Citizenship Status Indian
Amount Paid : 10)	Mode of Payment Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? : No(Normal)	Request Pertains to :
Information Sought : The request has been attached as the supporting document	
Print Save Close	

Please Provide the following details:

1. Complete File/Authorisation along with the draft note issued by the Law department of the company to Shri. P.C. Kandpal, Chief Manager, Sitarganj, authorising him to sign the affidavit in place of DGM (H.R.) in WPMS 977/2020 before The Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital. Please also provide the copies of the same being forwarded or sent in the dispatch register.
(Annexed Copies of Counter Affidavit before the Hon'ble High Court on behalf of the Corporation by Sri. P.C. Kandpal)
2. Please provide the Authorisation issued to Shri P.C. Kandpal to use services of the Department's Standing Counsel before The Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital being a private respondent.
3. Please provide the statement of charges being paid by the department against usage of Department's Standing Counsel before The Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital being a private respondent.
4. Please provide the copies of letters of the employees of the department requesting permission to employ domestic house help through out NR-III.
5. Please provide the sanction letters issued to employees of the department allowing them permission to employ domestic house help through out NR-III.
6. Please provide the copies of letters of the employees of the department requesting permission to reside for a relative in the company accommodation through out NR-III.
7. Please provide the sanction letters issued to employees of the department allowing them permission for residence of a relative in company accommodation through out NR-III.
8. Please provide the details of officers authorised to allot as well as cancel the company accommodation through out NR-III.
9. Please provide the following details of the Accommodation allotted to a) Jitendra Kumar Sah, b) Sunder Singh Kanyal at Sitarganj Sub-Station:
 - i) the category for which the two are entitled to hold an accommodation.
 - ii) the order which allotted them Type-C accommodation being Junior Engineers
 - iii) if the accommodation has been provided in contravention to the company policy, then provide the details of action that has been taken against the two.
 - iv) please provide the details of the employees through out the NR-III who have been granted accommodation above their entitlement against the company policy.
 - v) details any penal rent has been charged against the two employees.
 - vi) copies of the official letters deferring the charging of penal rent.

*Please Note, additional payment of Rupees 450 vide Postal Orders, as copying fee for the RTI Application, with respect to the Copies of Official Communication is being sent to Public Information Officer, NR-III, Lucknow, at Regional Office, 12, Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow.

Sincerely,

Mayank R.

70 Isha Ronak Awas

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND

AT NAINITAL

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT

(On Behalf of)

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited through its Deputy General
Manager (Human Resource), Northern Region – III, 12, Rana Pratap
Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. ... Respondent No. 1

IN

WRIT PETITION (M/S) – 977 OF 2020

(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

(District – Udham Singh Nagar)

Smt. Putli Devi and Another

... Petitioners

Versus

Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. & Others

... Respondents



Affidavit of Shri Prakash
Chandra Kandpal male aged about 56
years S/o Shri R.C. Kandpal R/o
Presently posted as Chief Manager,
Power Grid Corporation, Sitarganj.

Swgk
8/7/2020
Certified that Deponent has
Signed / L.P.D.R.T.I. on his
Photograph in my Presence

(DEPONENT)



6

- 1) That the deponent is the authorized officer of Respondent No. 1 in the present petition and is authorized to file present affidavit in the present writ petition, as such he is well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case and is competent to file the present Counter Affidavit.

PARA-WISE REPLY

- 2) That the contents of Para No. 1 are false, misleading hence vehemently denied.
- 3) That the contents of Para No. 2 are false, misleading hence vehemently denied. That petitioner no. 1 is not the legally wedded wife of Shri K.B. Thapa. As per the records of employee with the respondent corporation one Putali Devi having date of birth 01.03.1969 is the legally wedded wife of K.B. Thapa.
- 4) That the contents of Para No. 3 need no reply from the answering respondent.
- 5) That the contents of Para No. 4 are false, misleading hence vehemently denied. Petitioner No. 1 is not the wife of K.B. Thapa neither the petitioner no. 2 is the son of K.B. Thapa. True and correct copy of the family details

1

6) That the contents of Para No. 5 are false, misleading hence vehemently denied. It is pertinent to mention that petitioner no. 1 used to visit Shri K.B. Thapa along with petitioner no. 2 in the official campus of Power Grid Sitarganj. When the neighbors and other employee's families got suspicious about the identity of these petitioners, as they were in know of the actual family of Shri K.B. Thapa, they raised question to Shri K.B. Thapa about the real identity of these unknown visitors. Various employees out of their security concerns also objected to visitations of various minor girls and other women and their stay in the house of Shri K.B. Thapa then Shri K.B. Thapa remained evasive and became belligerent and violent against the neighbors. That all the employees gave a complaint to the Chief General Manager with regard to the suspicious conduct and possible human trafficking being done by Shri K.B. Thapa in association with various other women. True and correct copy of complaint dated 28.03.2020 is marked and annexed as ANNEXURE NO. 2.

7) That the contents of Para No. 6 are false, misleading hence denied.

8) That the contents of Para No. 7 are false, misleading hence vehemently denied. No physical assault was ever done by any officer of respondent company on petitioner no. 2. Rather contrary to petitioners version it is actually the petitioner no. 2 who assaulted the officers of the respondent company when they tried to ask him about their real identity. The officer of the respondent company also gave the report to concerned police station Kotwali Sitarganj on 27.03.2020 however no further action was taken by the police on this complaint for unknown reasons. True and correct copy

