

RTI APPEAL DETAILS			
RTI Appeal Registration No. :	PGCIL/A /E/23/00017	RTI Appeal Received Date :	23/03/2023
RTI Request Registration No. :	PGCIL/R /E/23/00071	RTI Request Registration Date :	18/02/2023
Name :	SUMAN SINGH	Gender :	Female
Address :	W/O SANTOSH KUMAR SINGH, SAHADATPURA MAU NATH BHANJANNEAR JYOTI EYE HOSPITAL		
Pin Code :	275101		
State :	Uttar Pradesh	Country :	India
Phone :	+91-7675929267	Mobile No. :	+91-7675929267
Email :	golu2249@gmail.com		
Status :	Urban	Educational Status :	
Citizenship :	Indian	Is Appellant below poverty line ? :	No
CPIO of Public Authority Approached :	20855	CPIO's Order/Decision Date :	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. :	Details not provided		
Ground For Appeal :	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information		
Text of RTI First Appeal :	<p>1. As per your reply of a RTI of registration no. PGCIL/R/E/23/00071, civil work (400/220kv Sahjahanpur and suhawal substation) was Awarded to partnership firm namely Arch construction Vide contract agreement 7th July 2014 and 23rd July 2014. But A foreclosure letter had been issued to Mr. Santosh Singh, Proprietor, M/s Arch Construction by Powergrid Corporation of India on 4th oct 2017 (document enclosed) against the contract for civil work at 400/220 KV Sohawal Substation vide Contract Agreement dated 07.07.2014. In this letter, it is clearly mentioned that you had been assigned the Civil Works at 400/220 KV Sohawal Substation directly by POWERGRID, which you were executing earlier as a subcontractor of GET vide work order re. WO/Sohawal/Civil-10 dated 27.05.2012. It is pertinent to mentioned that, the Partnership firm, Arch Construction was not in existence during this time period 21(in 2012). Partnership firm registered on 19 Mar 2014 (registration certificated enclosed). Only Proprietorship M/s Arch construction was in existence from 2009 which was executing as a subcontractor of GET. In view of above details, it is certainly said that the above mentioned civil work was directly awarded to Proprietorship firm namely M/s Arch Construction. Please review the information provided by Shri Udai Vir, (NR-III), CPIO and provide correct information again of my RTI</p>		

2. Refer to contract agreement between M/s Arch Construction and PGCIL for 400/220 shahjahapur and sohawal substation dated 07.07.2014 and 23.07.2014 it is clearly mentioned that, the contract directly awarded to that M/s Arch Construction which was executing the work as subcontractor under principle agreement through subcontract ref no. WO/sohawal/civil-10 dated 27 may 2012 and various amend awarded by M/s GET POWER LTD. It is pertinent to mentioned that, the Partnership firm, Arch Construction was not in existence during this time period. Only Proprietorship M/s Arch construction was in existence from 2009 which was executing as a subcontractor of GET. In view of above details, it is certainly said that the above mentioned civil work was directly awarded to Proprietorship firm namely M/s Arch Construction. Please correct the reply and intimate again. 3. Refer to para 6 and 7 of APPENDIX-II of contract agreement for 400/220 KV shahjahanpur substation dated 23 July 2014. It is clearly mentioned that M/s Arch Construction will settle old liability with M/s GET Power and M/s Arch Construction also submit joint signed statement between M/s GET Power and M/s Arch Construction for the current status of payment. As I has mentioned above, due not in existence of Partnership firm there was no any relation between the M/s GET POWER and partnership firm, only Proprietorship firm namely M/s Arch Construction was subcontractor of GET POWER. Please review your reply and provide correct information only regarding the civil work was awarded to partnership or Proprietorship firm