

RTI REQUEST DETAILS

Registration No. :	PGCIL/R/E/21/00311	Date of Receipt :	26/07/2021
Type of Receipt :	Online Receipt	Language of Request :	English
Name :	Hemansh	Gender :	Male
Address :	G203, shripad antalia , Pal adajan surat, Pin:395009		
State :	Gujarat	Country :	India
Phone No. :	+91-03612454545	Mobile No. :	+91-9726609038
Email :	morokhetar@gmail.com		
Status(Rural/Urban) :	Urban	Education Status :	Graduate
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :	No	Citizenship Status	Indian
Amount Paid :	10)	Mode of Payment	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :	No(Normal)	Request Pertains to :	

Information Sought : PowerGrid vs patchukoru Appa Rao and ors on 10 November 2005. Equivalent citations: 2006 (1) ALT 392

PowerGrid make power transmission line in between Rajnagaram and Gajuwaka in Andhra Pradesh. The responent (Land owner) is the owner of land situated at Thimmarajupeta village of Vishakhapatnam district. In this transmission line corridor, powergrid has made compensation for tree cutting 10,000 rs/tree. The landowner received said amount under protest. It was asserted by landowner that each tree give 500rs per year income and for 10years it would be 5000rs per each coconut and sought for compensation from powergrid.

The petitioner (PowerGrid) field counter affidavits before the court for opposing the claim by landowners. After elaborate consicleration of entire evidence record, the learned Dist. Judge enhanced the compensation 1600rs/tree.

There is no dispute as to enhancement of quantum of compensation. It is the case of the petitioner that Dist. Court below grossly erred in awarding interest at 9% per annum. On the enhanced compensation from the date of petition till the date of realization of the amount. Challenging the awarding of interest by the learned district judge. This batch of civil revision petition are filed. On the date 10 November 2005, the high court of Andhra Pradesh has ordered following.

The payment of Interest would arise when the compensation is not paid. The dispute was raised before the learned dist judge, who decide the compensation rs 1608 rs/tree. Thus it must be deemed the authority has illegally withheld rs 600/tree per anum till the date of realization and as such grunting of interest on thr amount can neighter be said to be unwarranted, arbitrary not it can be said that in the absence of statutory

provision of awarding interest by dist court is illegal or without jurisdiction. The respondent are enfiled for such interest even in the absence of a statutory provision as to warding interest, so such the civil revision petition are devoid of merits and table to be dismissed. In the case high court of Andhra Pradesh ordered to give interest at 9% of rate on enhanced compensation.

Q1 did PowerGrid pay interest enhanced compensation to landowner?

Q2 if no, why?

Q3 how many time of period, PGCIL give interest?

Thanks.

Print

Save

Close