

# Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd & Others

v.

# Nadipalloi Varakrupa Prasada Rao & Others

(High Court Of Telangana)

Civil Revision Petition No. 2222, 2356 And 2333 Of 2004 | 26-09-2008

Heard Sri M.S. Ramachandra Rao, learned counsel representing the revision petitioners - Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, represented by its Additional General Manager, Hyderabad and others and Sri Jayanthi S.C. Sekhar, learned counselnes Reports representing the respondent in CRP No. 2222 of 2004.

2. Sri M.S. Ramachandra Rao, learned counsel representing the revision petitioners had pointed out to the orders impugned in the civil revision petitions and would maintain that the learned II Additional District Judge, Visakhapatnam, had totally erred in granting interest at 9% per annum on the compensation from the date of petition till the date of realization of the amount due. The learned counsel also would maintain that the learned II Additional District Judge, Visakhapatnam, ought to have appreciated that while dealing with a claim under Sections 10 and 16 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 and under the said statute there is no provision for payment of interest on the compensation to the claimant. The learned counsel had also drawn a distinction between the provisions of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 and the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 as to the language employed and the learned counsel also would maintain that the learned II Additional District Judge had awarded interest to the respondent which is totally without jurisdiction since there is no provision under the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 to award interest on compensation.

3. On the contrary, Sri Jayanthi S.C. Sekhar would maintain that a batch of civil revision petitions had been decided by this Court in Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. rep. by its Addl. General Manager