

- A) Allegations and imaginations are not major but were moderate that were unavoidable in the situations and happenings that your Grid had allowed all along.
- B) Grammatical exercise of English is a pleasant genesis of human intelligence that is required everywhere and the arena of RTI cannot be an exemption of this human intelligence.
- C) All that were sought were not unavailable to you.
- D) Seeking and expressing opinions and asking and answering questions is an inseparable and integral part of the RTI service system. RTI service providers have expressed opinions and have answered questions.
- E) All my requirements from you were within the purview of section 2(f) that you have mentioned.
- F) Your last sentence in paragraph one is unsuitable in the instant case.
- G) I request and also suggest you to withdraw your sentence one in paragraph 2, since it does not suit the sequence of things all along; here I seek your

the following grounds:-

I am pleased to thank you for respectfully replying to me. The tenor and tone of your reply letter is sound. However it is difficult to admit its contents as maintainable on

Your reply letter bearing the Ref.SR-II/F-245/2014 3 0 4 7 6 dated 24.06.2014 addressed to MK Krishna, @ 'Saketha' who is myself → *for advice to restaurant* \*\*\*\*\*

Sub: information under RTI Act 2005-reg:

Respected Sir,

To,  
 The DGM & CPIO,  
 Shri. Sunil K. Thomas  
 Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd.  
 SRTS-II Pragati Mahalakshmi,  
 Yeshwantpur Bangalore-22.

Ref.No.19-5/wr-24-6.

Date : 02-7-2014

From,  
 MK KRISHNA, RT Ngr Bnglr.

*for. Justice against power grid*  
*dat 02.7.14*

diversion of resources that Powergrid has caused to its contractor KBR Inrattech Ltd.

forbearance to just ask you - do you please know the extent of unjudicious

you.

H) I am more specific and amply clear in almost all my particulars that I had read to

I) Coming back to your say of grammatical exercise of English language, I would

request you to see whether or not your reply letter is somewhat similar to a

Dramatical exercise of escaping attempt; I hope you know that while

Grammatical exercises are pleasant genesis of human intelligence, Dramatical

exercises are mere depiction of Physical Skill of the performer for entertainment

that diminishes momentarily after depiction.

J) Please look here:

14-Has Powergrid breached the pre-contract integrity pact - - - - -was not this

query specific to you please.

10-who please ordered or instructed the tender committee of Powergrid to

issue the tender documents to KBR Inrattech limited - - - - -was this asking not

clear to you please.

5-a-Did Powergrid procure please its requirement of excavation of earth from

legally employed labourers - - - - - was not this query specific to you please.

6-did powergrid ensure please that kbr Inrattech limited complied with the

prerequisites for employing the work force that Powergrid had laid upon kbr

inrattech limited - - - - - was this query not specific to you please,

24-I was wanting you to say who "The authour of the contents of the

Performance bank guarantee that state bank of Mysore had furnished in favour

of powergrid" was ; was not this instrument accessible to you please.

11- Did powergrid teach KBR Inrattech Limited as to what it had to write or do

to qualify itself for the award- this information was accessible to you in the

form of documents generated by your Manager C&M that you have evaded to

furnish.

15- Did powergrid award the work to KBR Infratech Limited by misusing its authority- did you please see not specificity in this asking.  
 31- Is the award legally valid - is the award illegal, has powergrid procured the works from an illegal award, is powergrid procuring the works from an illegal award - - - was not this specific to you please.  
 (K) You have mentioned in respect of allowing searches concerning 2 other works that I had sought.

2. The foreword to the application that I had read to you was to prepare your mind mills stably, ably or atleast requisitely to address the application zealfully and majestically.

3. Resubmitting the application in the manner as have you mentioned or in any other manner is considered as not necessary in view of the grounds that are listed hereinabove from A) to J) and paragraph 2 hereon.

3. You have to kindly give all and everything in the style and manners that I have demonstrated to you for hassle free comparative understanding wherere all required; other points are following this method.


4. I request you to permit me to say that you are appearing as attempting to give a blanket cover to the ineligible performers in the episodial happenings who ought to have been produced before the Jurisdictional Magistrate for taking actions against them in accordance with Law; in this direction kindly be informed that your Executive Director has unanswered my letter of 19<sup>th</sup> MAY 2014, spread on pages 41,42,43, thus requiring me to make a Fresh Application to you dated 07-07-2014 titled as "Producing before the Magistrate" which please receive in accompaniment here and reply to it properly early. My letter of 19<sup>th</sup> May 2014 addressed to your Executive Director regarding producing the concerned before the Jurisdictional Magistrate either on or before 01<sup>st</sup> August 2014 (First date of August two thousand Fourteen) is accessible to you that you obtain.

Thanking you,

*Please receive at Shor office here  
 At or 7.2014*

MK. Krishna, Adrs. as btr.

Yours faithfully,



From, MK. KRISHNA, # 339 @ 'saketha' 2nd block, 4th main road,  
12th cross, Ravindranatha Tagore Nagar, BENGALURU 560032  
\*\*\*\*\*

To,  
The Cpio & DGM.

Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd.  
SRTS-II BANGALOR 22

REF: 3/fresh/akshn - ed-19.

02-JULY-2014.

Sub: informations from you - - Producing before the Magistrate.

Respected sir,

Reference: The Letter of MK. Krishna @ saketha bnglr 32 dated 19-05-2014  
addressed to the Executive Director, Powergrid SRTS II Bangalore - reg. getting  
produced the Managing Director of KBR Infratech Ltd....the Manager  
C&M....The DGM vigilance of Powergrid ... before the Jurisdictional  
Magistrate" - - please access this letter from your Grid.  
\*\*\*\*\*

1. What please are the actions that the respected Executive Director of  
Powergrid srts -II has taken on the letter of MK Krishna regarding getting  
produced the identified authorities of KBR Infratech Ltd and Powergrid  
before the Magistrate that the letter of MK Krishna was speaking to the  
Executive Director.

2. Has the Executive Director of Powergrid SRTS-II or any other on his behalf  
sought any legal consultations in respect of the letter of MK Krishna that  
was speaking to him about getting produced the identified authorities  
before the Jurisdictional Magistrate - would you please give me one copy of  
such a seeking- has Powergrid received the legal consultations, if yes  
please give me its copy or read out its contents clearly and completely.

3. Has the Executive Director of Powergrid srts-II got suspended the  
construction of the RHO Office building after receiving the letter of MK  
Krishna in respect of getting produced the identified authorities before the  
Magistrate - has the ED allowed the works to continue even after receiving  
the letter dated 19-05-2014 of MK Krishna. 3-a. Has powergrid allowed the  
contractor KBR Infratech Limited Stay put in the site of construction  
engaged in activities whereall it could engage even after the Executive

Director of powergrid srts-II received the letter of MK Krishna in respect of producing the authorities before the Magistrate, if no, why please the disallowance.

4. What please are the notings and recordings that the Executive Director of SRTS-II made in respect of the letter of MK.Krishna about producing the authorities before the Magistrate.

5. Why is it that the Executive Director of Powergrid SRTS-II not replied to the letter of MK Krishna in respect of getting produced the identified authorities before the Jurisdictional Magistrate.

6. Has the Executive Director of Powergrid SRTS-II or any one on his behalf replied to the letter of MK Krishna in respect of getting produced the identified authorities before the Magistrate that has uncome to MK Krishna - is the reply to the letter regarding producing the identified authorities before the Magistrate being readied , prepared or kept ready for dispatching to MK Krishna.


7. After receiving the letter of MK Krishna that is mentioned hereinabove has the Executive Director of Powergrid srts-II seen breach and break away of the concerned integrity pact that both Powergrid and KBR Intratech Ltd had entered into; even after seeing the breach of the integrity pact has the Executive Director allowed the works to progress or has he suspended the works from progressing.

8. Breach of the integrity pact and continuance of the work entails who all in Powergrid eligible for criminal proceedings against them.

9. Has the executive Director or any other of Powergrid already got produced the identified authorities of the contractor and Powergrid before the Jurisdictional Magistrate without informing MK Krishna - - has the law courts served summons to the accused and what is the status.

Now please receive the continuing part of the application relating the construction of RHO office complex that is separately written,

MK KRISHNA,



Applicant - manager of KBR Intratech Ltd.

Continuing part of application of MK Krishna dated 02-7-2014 to CPIO Powgrid  
srts -II Bangalore.

Please obtain the contents of my letter of 19<sup>th</sup> MAY 2014 that I had addressed to the  
respected manager c & m Madam Jayashree T J of powgrid srts-ii regarding  
procurement of construction of RHQ office complex building that is accessible to  
you and give me informations relating to it;  
\*\*\*  
What please has the Manager C & M done,

Is the Manager C & M continuing to procure the works under her purchase order.

Has not the Manager C & M withdrawn her purchase order.

Has not the Manager C & M suspended her purchase order.

Has the Manager C & M brought the contents of the letter of MK Krishna to the  
notice of her Executive Director, if yes what has the Executive Director done.

Has the Manager C & M not done anything in respect of the letter of MK Krishna  
dated 19-05-2014, if yes why please she did not anything,

How much is the payment that the Manager C & M has allowed and paid so far  
under her Purchase Order-mention the total contract amount - has there been  
extension of completion period, if yes how much - how much is the first envisaged  
completion period.

Do the service conditions that are applicable to the Manager C & M permit  
Powgrid to take some action against her based on the contents of the letter dated  
19-5-2014 of MK Krishna that was addressed to the Manager C & M - - has there  
been any such action against her, if yes what are those; are actions against her  
being contemplated. Even with the contents of the letter dated 19-5-2014 of MK  
Krishna that was addressed to the Manager C&M is the administration of  
powgrid allowing her to rejoice or stay put as before uninterrupted in the  
construction.

You have to please repeat everything that I have written to you alongside your  
replies for comparative understanding whereall your replies would be  
produced. The fee by p o is here please.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,  
MK. Krishna, applicant manager.

70 c 8/1308