

Under Section 6 of the Right to Information Act, 2005

From

G. Ramamoorthy
S/o Mr. Gurusamy
2/54 North street
Chithrampatti Post – 628 502
Kovilpatti Tk
Tuticorin District




To

The Public Information Officer
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
Southern Region Transmission System – II RHQ
Near RTO Driving Test Track
Singanayakanahalli, Yelahanka Hobli
BANGALORE – 560 064

Sir,

Sub :- Request to furnish the following information

1. Kindly furnish the Name of contractor and the Contract value of the contract awarded for construction of tower package P239-TW02 for 765 KV D/C Tuticorin Pooling Station, Salem Pooling Station (Part-I) to M/s Electrical Manufacturing Co.Ltd., Kolkata (EMC) vide LOA No.CC-CS/99-SR2/ TW-935/ 3/G4/ CA-II/3940 dated 18.11.2011.
2. Kindly furnish the period taken for completion of the contract mentioned under (1) above and inform whether the Contract was completed within the awarded value
3. Please inform whether any Sub-contract approval was given in case of the abovesaid Contract mentioned under (i) above. If so, please give the Company to whom the said sub-contract was given;
4. Kindly furnish the details of Inspections conducted by the Vigilance officials in respect of the above said contract, during the contract period.



853

5. Kindly furnish whether any irregularity was pointed out by the Vigilance officials during their inspection conducted as above;
6. Kindly furnish details of disciplinary action taken against the connected officials against whom incriminatory report was received from the Vigilance officials as mentioned in (5) above.
7. The exact number of locations in both Part-I and Part-II of the above work may please be furnished.
8. How many employees were given charge sheet by way of disciplinary action for the above line. (The details of employees designation wise for each part may please be furnished.)
9. Further, how many employees were charge sheeted and finally how many out of them were given punishment. (Their Grade, Pay Scale, duration of stay etc,)
10. How many of the charge sheeted employees were given transfer orders? How many of them again returned to their original place of posting?
11. It was understood that in some locations recasting/strengthening were done. In that how many of the locations the sample concreting cubes failed may also be furnished indicating the locations.
12. It may please be furnished that as to whether those who supervised/responsible for above recasting were given charge sheet or not for their lapses? If not, why?
13. During the execution of above line, various meetings were held with employees and contractors to review the progress work from time to time. The MOM/details of such meetings may please be furnished.
14. What is the purpose of Inspection Committee being deputed to above construction site? And who are members of that committee? Their names, designation, department may please be furnished.

15. Whether this type of committee is deputed to each site where construction work is undertaken?
16. Whether all the members of inspection committee are still in the rolls of company? If not please indicate clearly whether they are transferred, resigned or retired from POWERGRID.
17. If transferred/resigned please furnish the reasons for such act (Please indicate whether such persons belong to vigilance department.
18. In case of transfer please mention the place of posting, date of relieving and joining to new place.
19. In case of resignation the organization where such employee joined may please be indicated.
20. It is to be made clear that who had borne the entire expenditure towards recasting of failed foundations, strengthening of towers? Whether by POWERGRID or by Contractor i.e. EMC?
21. When the line was commissioned?
22. Whether any liquidated damages levied against contractor?
23. As per FQP whether JEs having power to verify stores records of contractors for reconciliation of cement and steel maintained by them in their custody? Please clarify.
24. At the early stage of execution of above line, river sand was used however; subsequently the execution was done by using M-sand. Who had given approval for using M-sand?
25. It is also to be furnished that this much large quantity of M-sand who has supplied in this site. Indicate the name of supplier.
26. Whether the Inspection Committee had studied/examined the impact of use M-sand in concreting.

Whether any stern action taken against contractor for forging of Test results as if it was obtained from Thyagrajar College Madurai. Please indicate the status.

I also undertake to pay the necessary fees for the furnishing the all the above documents .

Thanking You

Place : Madurai

Date: 10.04.2019

Yours faithfully


(G.RARAMOORTHY)