

RTI APPEAL DETAILS			
RTI Appeal Registration No. :	PGCIL/A/ E/24/00033	RTI Appeal Received Date :	07/06/2024
RTI Request Registration No. :	PGCIL/R/ E/24/00278	RTI Request Registration Date :	22/05/2024
Name :	Chandubhai Kanabhai Kalkani	Gender :	Male
Address :	C/1305, Green Velley Apartment Near Capital Green, Vesu Canal Road Bharthana		
Pin Code :	395007		
State :	Gujarat	Country :	India
Phone :	Details not provided	Mobile No. :	+91-7574868696
Email :	hkeniskumar@gmail.com		
Status :	Urban	Educational Status :	
Citizenship :	Indian	Is Appellant below poverty line ? :	No
CPIO of Public Authority Approached :	11930	CPIO's Order/Decision Date :	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. :	Details not provided		
Ground For Appeal :	Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information		
Text of RTI First Appeal :	<p>The information which is sought by me, is pertaining to my interest, my land will be acquired by the power grid. Therefore it's Power Grid Corporation of India Limited's obligation to provide the information pertaining to my rights. I have not sought the information of whole india, I just sought the information of a village in which my land is situated. The aim of the act is transparency and while providing the information, the process is becoming transparent. And also note that I am a citizen of India and by this information how can I harm the public of the country therefore it's not a national security from any aspect. Disclosure of information that generates anxiety or threatens the quality of life may prejudicially affect security of the State and therefore, the same should not be disclosed. But this information does not come under the preview in the above-mentioned situation. In Delhi Metro Rail Corporation Ltd. v. Sudhir Vohra, 12th High Court of Delhi has held that since the information on all structural drawings of both the pile foundation and the superstructure, including all steel reinforcement details, foundation details, engineering calculations and soil tests pertaining to the cantilevered bracket of Metro Pillar No. 67, was given to engineers, contractors, sub-contractors and other people working in the field, there had been disclosure of such information</p>		

earlier and therefore, Section 8(1)(a) of Act was not attracted as disclosure and furnishing of information could not prejudicially affect the scientific and economic interests of the State. This case perfectly fits in this situation. The Supreme Court in Bihar Public Service Commission v. Saiyed Hussain Abbas Rizwi[supra]³⁹ has held that the statutory exemption provided under Section 8 of the RTI Act is the rule and only in exceptional circumstances of larger public interest, the information would be disclosed. It has also been held that 'public purpose' needs to be interpreted in the strict sense and public interest has to be construed keeping in mind the balance between right to privacy and right to information. Therefore taking cognizance of above-mentioned case law, the sought information has to be provided by CPIO. Kindly order the CPIO to provide the information as asked in point no.4&5 of the application.